

## The Rising of the Beast Out of the Sea, Part 4

Welcome to the Twenty-Third Annual Feast of Weeks in the solar year of 6006. It is a privilege, indeed, to stand before you today, and give ALL glory, kudos, and praise to my Creator and Maker, יהוה בן יהוה. All that I am and everything that I shall be, I owe it all to Him. He is a God that is gracious, and He is full of mercy and compassion. And as long as we seek to do His will--nobody else's--but His will, He shall be faithful and just to give us the desires of our hearts. Praise יהוה! If it is not but for these reasons alone, יהוה בן יהוה is worthy of the highest praise. So, let us sing “high” praises to the name, יהוה בן יהוה. Hallelu יהוה!

I would also like to welcome you to part four of this seminar, entitled, ***“The Rising of the Beast Out of The Sea.”*** The title of this seminar derives from a prophetic Scripture in the book of Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1. Let us open our Bibles, and turn to this, please. Read:

***And I stood upon the sand of the sea, and saw a beast rise up out of the sea, having seven heads and ten horns, and upon his horns ten crowns, and upon his heads the name of blasphemy.***

Before יהוה בן יהוה came to us--the blind, deaf, dumb, and ignorant--and brought us the keys of how to unlock the mysteries of the Bible, we were truly

dead to this knowledge. We did not have a clue as to what this was saying. From generation to generation, we were taught untruths concerning the Last Days. We heard such ludicrous things in our homes, in our churches, like if we weren't obedient to what the pastor said (never realizing that the pastor hardly read from the Bible), then when Judgment Day comes, we would meet a red, horned, seven-headed monster out of the sea that would consume us. And the ones of us who were obedient (to the pastor) would float up in the air in the rapture, and be saved from this "terrible" day. Such an event would defy the law of gravity.

Well, today, we are here to learn the "truth." We are here to learn that Judgment Day will not be like the Lochness Monster, and neither will our salvation have anything to do with what any pastor has to say. Moreover, our salvation is based upon receiving יהוה, and the truth that He brings to us--which is up to us to either accept or reject. Praise יהוה!

Since this has been established, once again, welcome to ***"The Rising of the Beast Out of the Sea,"*** where shining the light on the truth is always our central focus. In keeping with Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1, יהוה has given us the understanding to decode this Scripture all the way up to *"...and ten horns."*

Today, our research shall commence with the clause, “...*and upon his horns ten crowns....*”

We shall begin to gain an understanding of this clause by first defining the word “and.” However, before doing so, let us examine what preceded this clause, in Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1:

...and ten horns,...

From this reading, we would think that “...*and upon his horns ten crowns...*” would be in conjunction, or would be an addition to the “*ten horns*” previously mentioned in this Scripture. In part three, we factually concluded that the “*ten horns*,” previously mentioned, signified a time of great trouble, when all so-called dark-skinned people would be put into concentration camps, as a result of a forthcoming second terrorist attack. Today, we shall prove that the “horns” in the clause, “...*and upon his horns ten crowns...*,” has a different meaning from the “*ten horns*” previously mentioned in Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1.

I shall establish this fact on the authority of the *Encarta World English Dictionary*, copyright 1999, on page 62, which defines **and** (from the phrase, “*and upon his horns ten crowns*”) as, “used to introduce a contrasting statement.” From

the same reference, on page 395, **contrast** is described as, “something that is different, compared with something else.” On account of these definitions, we can conclude “definitively” that the horns in “...*and upon his horns ten crowns...*” has a total different meaning from the horns in the phrase “...*and ten horns....*” As a matter of fact, the phrase *...and ten horns...* is a part of a parenthetical element within this Scripture. *Gregg Reference Manual*, Eighth Edition, copyright 1996, on page 520, references **parenthetical element** as, “words, phrases, or clauses that are not necessary to the completeness of the structure or meaning of a sentence.” Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1, reads:

*And I stood upon the sand of the sea, and saw a beast rise up out of the sea, [having seven heads and ten horns,] and upon his horns ten crowns,...*

The phrase “...*having seven heads and ten horns...*” is the parenthetical element in this Scripture. When we remove the parenthetical element from this Scripture it reads:

*And I stood upon the sand of the sea, and saw a beast rise up out of the sea, and upon his horns ten crowns,...*

Therefore, the parenthetical element is not needed to complete the structure of this Scripture, neither is it necessary to the meaning of this Scripture. We can now move forward to researching the next sequence of words, which is “*his horns,*” in order to determine what the “*ten crowns*” was upon.

First, we shall define “his.” Referenced in *The American Heritage Student Dictionary*, copyright 1998, on page 474, **his** is described as a, “pronoun,” and it means, “the ones belonging to him.” On page 767, a **pronoun** is, “in grammar, any of a class of words used as substitutes for nouns.” And on page 667, **noun** denotes, “in grammar, a word that is used to name a person....” Considering all of these facts, so far, we can see that “*his*” is substituting for the name of a male person. To identify this person, we must read Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1, with the parenthetical element removed.

*And I stood upon the sand of the sea, and saw a beast rise up out of the sea, and upon his horns ten crowns,...*

So, the male person that is being substituted as the “his” in the clause “...and upon his horns ten crowns...,” is the *beast* that is rising up out of the sea. This beast rising up out of the sea has been factually documented in part one as being a symbolic representation of the **President of the United States, George W. Bush.**

“His” was also defined as, “ones belonging to him.” Let us get the facts concerning this. In *The Synonym Finder*, by J. I. Rodale, copyright 1978, on page 109, **belong to** means the same as, “to be a concern of.” Who are the “ones” that are a concern of President George W. Bush? In *The Cassell Concise Dictionary*, Revised Edition, copyright 1998, on page 1013, **one** is described as, “unit.” In

accordance with *Bartlett's Roget's Thesaurus*, copyright 1996, on page 45 (#18.13), **unit** (under the code word “group”) is, “community of nations,” while the *Encarta World English Dictionary*, copyright 1999, on page 368, defines **community** as, “a group of people who live in the same area.” Take note that “horns” is a plural word, and *The American Heritage Student Dictionary*, copyright 1998, on page 738, defines **plural** as, “relating to more than one.”

Thus, we can validate from the information gathered that President George W. Bush is concerned with a group of nations (plural--more than one) that live in the same area. Being that this is established, we can now move on to decode the next word in the sequence, which is “horns.”

In conformity with *The New Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible*, Greek Dictionary, copyright 1990, on page 42 (#2768), the Greek word for **horn** is *keras*, and it is defined as, “the hair of the head.” We know that this is not talking about the “literal” hair on the head of President George W. Bush, so what does hair “figuratively” represent? We can seek an understanding to this by delving into the definition of “hair.”

Documented in *Webster's New World College Dictionary*, Fourth Edition, copyright 2000, on page 639, **hair**, means "to bristle." According to *Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, copyright 1999, on page 144, **bristle** is denoted as, "to rise; to take on an aggressive attitude." On page 1011, **rise** means, "to take up arms." And in *Webster's New World College Dictionary*, Fourth Edition, copyright 2000, on page 76, **take up arms** (under the definition of arm) means, "to go to war." Conclusively of these facts, we have gathered from this bit of information that President George W. Bush has a concern for a group of nations that are in the same area, and he shall take on an aggressive attitude against them, and shall even go to war with them.

In order to understand the nature of President George W. Bush, and why he is going to war with a group of nations that are in the same area, let us examine his "aggressive" attitude. **Aggressive**, in the *Cassell Concise Dictionary*, copyright 1998, on page 28, is, "involving an act of aggression"; and **aggression**, on the same page, is "violation of a country's sovereignty by another country." **Aggression** is also described in the *Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, copyright 1999, on page 23, as "a forceful procedure (as an unprovoked attack), especially when intended to dominate." We must stop here, and absorb these definitions. First, "violation of a country's sovereignty by another country."

We have just come to the inference that the “aggressive” attitude of President George W. Bush is causing him to violate the sovereignties of countries. *The Synonym Finder*, by J. I. Rodale, copyright 1978, on page 236, validates that **country** is interchangeable with “nation.” Overall, President George W. Bush will violate the sovereignties of a group of countries or nations that are in the same area. In addition, the war or violation of these countries or nations that are in the same area will be a forceful procedure--unprovoked attacks, especially because he intends to dominate them. This domination process will be expounded upon later in this seminar. For now, we will gather some more details regarding the violation of these countries or nations by determining what violation means? We are still decoding the word horns from the clause, “*and upon his **horns** ten crowns*” in Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1.

Documented in *Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, copyright 1999, on page 1319, **violation** means, “ravishment,” and on page 970, **ravish**, the root of ravishment, is, “**plunder**,” which on page 896 means, “to take the goods of by force (as in war); pillage.” Apparently, we can deduce that one reason for the wars with these countries or nations that are in the same area will be to take the goods of their land by force. **Force**, in accordance with *Webster's New World Dictionary of the American Language*, College Edition, copyright 1960, on page 565, is “troops;



military power.” In the *Webster’s New World College Dictionary*, Fourth Edition, copyright 2000, on page 610, **good** the singular of goods, is defined as being “fertile,” and on page 524, **fertile** is, “rich in resources.”

For this matter, President George W. Bush is concerned with the rich resources of these countries or nations, and will send troops to these countries or nations to take the rich resources of their land by military power. What are the rich resources of these countries? This shall be discovered from the definition of plunder, which also means “pillage,” from the word ravish, which stemmed from violation. In the *Webster’s New World College Dictionary*, Fourth Edition, copyright 2000, on page 1092, **pillage** is defined as, “spoil.” In keeping with *Merriam Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary*, copyright 1999, on page 1136, **spoil** is “earth excavated.” Referenced in *The Synonym Finder*, by J. I. Rodale, copyright 1978, on page 373, **excavate** is equivalent to “mine,” and on page 620, **mine** is synonymous with “well.” The *Encarta World English Dictionary*, copyright 1999, on page 2015, describes **well** as, “a hole that is drilled into the ground in order to obtain petroleum.”

There we have it, the rich resources of these countries or nations that President George W. Bush is concerned with are their petroleum or oil, which they

obtain from the ground. And, without provocation, he shall send troops to go to war with these countries or nations to take their rich resources of petroleum or oil by using military power. Is this starting to sound familiar? Let us move to our next point of discussion, as we are still describing the “aggressive” attitude that President George W. Bush shall continue to take on.

Since, President George W. Bush plans to violate the sovereignties of these countries, I think that it is “high” time we find out what sovereignties are. In the *Random House Webster’s College Dictionary*, copyright 1997, on page 1235, **sovereignty** is, “the dominion of a sovereign.” According to *The Synonym Finder*, by J. I. Rodale, copyright 1978, on page 321, **dominion** is equivalent to “authority and rule.” Documented in *Chamber’s 21st Century Dictionary*, copyright 1996, on page 1411, **sovereign** is, “a monarch,” and on page 883, **monarch** is, “a king.” In the *Webster’s New World Dictionary of the American Language*, College Edition, copyright 1960, on page 805, **king** is, “a male ruler of a nation.” Therefore, not only will President George W. Bush issue troops to go to war with these countries to take their rich resources of petroleum or oil, but he will also take their kings, the male rulers of their nations by using military power. This too should sound familiar to you.

This is what violating another country is all about. In *The Synonym Finder*, by J. I. Rodale, copyright 1978, on page 1321, **violate** is also synonymous to “breach”; and in *The Cassell Concise Dictionary*, Revised Edition, copyright 1998, on page 175, **breach** is “breach of the peace.” So, not only will He take these countries or nations for their oil, and their king or ruler, but He will also bring about a “breach of the peace.” What is this? In the *Black’s Law Dictionary*, Seventh Edition, copyright 1983 on page 99, **breach of the peace** is, “a disturbance of public tranquility and order.” Thus, President George W. Bush has sent his troops to these countries or nations to fight with military power, which will cause a disturbance of public tranquility and order among these countries or nations.

We can now define our second definition of aggression, stemming from the word “horns” in the clause “...and upon his **horns** ten crowns,...” in Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1. **Aggression** means “a forceful procedure (as an unprovoked attack), especially when intended to dominate.” **Force**, the root of forceful, has already been defined as, “troops; military power.” And, in *Random House Webster’s College Dictionary*, copyright 1997, on page, 1037, **procedure** is “the manner of proceeding in any action; process.” On the same page, **process** is “a systematic series of actions directed to some end.”

Based on this information, we can substantiate that the use of troops and military power will be President George W. Bush's manner of proceeding to violate all of these countries or nations that are in the same area. He will use the same systematic series of actions--unprovoked attacks--directed specifically to bring an end to the rulers of these countries, so that he can dominate them.

The word "unprovoked" can be broken down into two parts, "un-" and "provoked." Referenced in *The Cassell Concise Dictionary*, Revised Edition, copyright 1998, **un-** means, "denoting an absence of." According to *Chamber's 21st Century Dictionary*, copyright 1996, on page 1116, **provoke** means "to cause," and on page 222, **cause** means "justification." Therefore, these attacks that President George W. Bush shall make on these countries or nations that are in the same area will be a systematic series of attacks without any cause, and will be absent of any justification. In the *Random House College Dictionary*, Revised Edition, copyright 1984, on page 712, **justify** the root of justification means "to show or prove to be right or moral." Conclusively, these wars that President George W. Bush will send troops to fight with military power will be *absent* of any thing that is right or moral.

Now that we have pinpointed the “aggressive” attitude of President Bush, it is time that we define another definition of bristle, which is from the word **horns**, in Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1. The *Merriam Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary*, copyright 1999, on page 144, defines **bristle** as, “to ruffle,” while **ruffle**, on page 1023, is described as, “commotion.” These wars that will be incited without any sense of rightness or morality will create a great commotion among these neighboring countries. Let us read where the Bible tells us this great commotion will come from. Jeremiah, Chapter 10, verse 22, reads in part:

***Behold, the noise of the bruit is come, and a great commotion out of the north country,... (stop).***

Where does Jeremiah, Chapter 10, verse 22, tell us that this great commotion will come from? Out of where? The north country. *Webster’s New Twentieth Century Dictionary*, Unabridged, copyright 1960, on page 1222, defines **the North** as, “designating the northern country, as North America.” So, this great commotion will come out of the north country, which is North America.

Turn to Luke, Chapter 21, verse 9. Read:

***But when ye shall hear of wars and commotions, be not terrified: for these things must first come to pass; but the end is not by and by.***

When we hear of wars and commotions, we must not be what? Terrified. Why? Because these things must come to pass. These wars and commotions must come to pass before we can see the end. We have already talked about the wars, so let's now talk about the commotion?

**Commotion**, in the *Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, Tenth Edition, copyright 1999, on page 232, is "noisy confusion." The *Oxford Universal Dictionary*, copyright 1955, on page 1322, defines **noise** as, "to spread." *The Synonym Finder*, by J. I. Rodale, copyright 1978, on page 1150, validates that **spread** is synonymous to "preach." On the authority of *Bartlett's Roget's Thesaurus*, copyright 1996, on page 4 (#4.1), under the code word philosophy, **preach** is equivalent to "doctrine." In the *Chamber's 21st Century Dictionary*, copyright 1996, on page 390, **doctrine** is denoted as, "any one of a set of religious beliefs." Considering these facts, this noisy confusion is a confusion that will have something to do with "religious beliefs."

Referenced in *Random House Webster's College Dictionary*, copyright 1997, on page 277, **confusion** means, "disorientation." On page 377, **disorientate**, means "to disorient." In order to understand the magnitude of this, we must break this word down into two parts, dis- (which is a prefix) and orient. **Dis-**, in *Merriam*

*Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, copyright 1999, on page 329, means, "opposite." The *Webster's New World College Dictionary*, Fourth Edition, copyright 2000, on page 1012, defines **opposite** as, "set against." Factually, we can see that the great commotion that is coming from North America indicates that President George W. Bush is set against the religious beliefs of these countries or nations that are in the same area.

In *The Oxford Dictionary and Thesaurus*, copyright 1996, on page 1051, **orientation** reads, "apparently from orient." In the same source of reference, on page 1050, **orient** is, "Eastern civilization," and on page 453, **eastern** is defined as, "of or in the Middle East." Indisputably, we can now see that this war and commotion that is against these countries or nations that are in the same area is a war that is against the countries or nations of the Middle East. Not only that, but this is also a war of religion--a holy war.

According to the *Random House College Dictionary*, Revised Edition, copyright 1984, on page 830, **Middle East**, is "the area from Libya east to Afghanistan, usually including Egypt, Sudan, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Syria, Turkey, Iraq, Iran, Saudi Arabia, and other countries of the Arabian Peninsula. Hence, the countries of the Middle East are those that are of the Arabian Peninsula.

In keeping with *Webster's New World College Dictionary*, Fourth Edition, copyright 2000, on page 71, **Arabian** means, "of Arabs." Documented in *Microsoft Encarta 96 Encyclopedia Software*, copyright 1993-95, under **Arabs**, it reads "the Arabic language is the main symbol of cultural unity among these people, but the religion of Islam provides another common bond for the majority of Arabs." Therefore, President George W. Bush is going to war with these Arab countries or nations of the Middle East because they have a common bond between them, which is the religion of Islam. Furthermore, the war is not only about "petroleum," but more so about religion.

Before, we can begin to understand why they want to take away the religion of Islam out of the Middle East, we must first gain insight of another definition of confusion, as we are still on the course of decoding the "horns" of the clause "...and upon his **horns** ten crowns..." in the Scripture of Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1. The *Random House Webster's College Dictionary*, copyright 1997, on page 277, also defines **confusion** as to "overthrow." On page 932 of the same reference **overthrow** is described as, "to depose, as from a position of power; to put an end to by force." In the *Cassell Concise Dictionary*, copyright 1998, on page 387, **depose** means "to remove from a throne."



Let us look at current events, and point out two countries in the Middle East that fit the description of all that we have discussed thus far: first, AFGHANISTAN, and then IRAQ. President George W. Bush, started war with Afghanistan after the so-called September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks in New York and Washington, D. C. He sent troops to Afghanistan to capture the capital city, Kabul, and to destroy the Taliban Regime using military power. Because of this, the Afghan parliament does not exist anymore. Instead, they removed the former ruler from his throne, or position of power, and replaced him with a new President, Hamid Karzai. After the U. S. captured Kabul and the Taliban Regime, Afghanistan has not had a stable government since.

In addition, shortly after Afghanistan President George W. Bush has removed the Iraqi male ruler, Saddam Hussein, from his throne, which is his position of power, by use of troops and military power, as well. Not only that, he claimed that He was going to liberate the Iraqi people, but everyone knows that his underlying concerns with this nation was their rich resources of petroleum or oil. He created a breach of the peace and disturbed the peace and order of this nation by using military power to bomb their capital city for weeks. Were the Iraqis complaining about not having peace before America went over there creating

confusion? In addition, they are now talking about going after Syria next, which is another country of the Middle East. This will make three nations in the Middle East. What is the purpose for all of this?

The purpose of using military power to destroy countries or nations, and the removing of kings from their thrones and positions of power is to set up new kings in their places. This is in accord with “ten crowns,” which is the last sequence of words, in the clause of Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1, which reads in part:

**“...and upon his horns ‘ten crowns’...”**

In *The Cassell Concise Dictionary*, Revised Edition, copyright 1998, on page 348, **crowns** means “to make a king.” From this definition, we can determine that President George W. Bush has plans to remove ten kings in the Middle East from their thrones and positions of power in order to make ten new kings. He is removing the old horns or kings and making new horns or kings, which is also in accord with the Scriptures. Let us turn to Revelation, Chapter 17, verses 12 –13:

***And the ten horns which thou sawest are ten kings, which have received no kingdom as yet; but receive power as kings one hour with the beast.***

***These have one mind, and shall give their power and strength unto the beast.***

Hence, these kings that are to be set up have no power as of yet, but will receive power with the beast for one hour. In *The Oxford Dictionary and Thesaurus*, copyright 1997, on page 711, **hour** is described as, “a short time.” Therefore, these ten kings shall receive power with the beast for a short time, and will have no problem giving their power and strength unto him. Why? Let us also read Revelation, Chapter 17, verse 17:

***For God, יהוה, hath put in their hearts to fulfill his will, and to agree, and give their kingdom unto the beast, until the words of God, יהוה, shall be fulfilled.***

As we have just learned, these kings that will be set up in the Middle East will have no problem giving their power, and strength unto the beast, because יהוה hath put it in their hearts to do these things until His word is fulfilled. Contingent upon these facts, we must know that the action that takes place in the Middle East is not by the will of Satan, but is the fulfillment of the Scriptures. And the most interesting thing is that after יהוה fulfills his will with these ten new kings for this short time, then they will be the same ten kings that will not only eat up President George W. Bush, but also the whore upon which he sits, which is America. This can be referenced in Revelation, Chapter 17, verse 16:

***And the ten horns which thou sawest upon the beast, these shall hate the whore, and shall make her desolate and naked, and shall eat her flesh, and burn her with fire.***

But, in the meantime, יהוה has put it in their hearts to fulfill His will, meaning that they shall accomplish their tasks with President George W. Bush until the words of יהוה be fulfilled. This is most powerful.

Since we have covered these facts, it is time that we see why President George W. Bush has intentions to dominate in the Middle East from the definition of aggression, which we said we would define later. **Aggression** meant “a forceful procedure (unprovoked attacks), especially when intended to dominate.” In the *Merriam Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary*, Tenth Edition, copyright 1999, on page 608, **intended** means “expected to be such in the future.” What does President George W. Bush expect to be such in the future? Let us move forward with dominate. In the *Webster’s New World College Dictionary*, Fourth Edition, copyright 2000, on page 425, **dominate**, reads “see DOME.” On page 424, **dome** means “to build; cathedral.” Accordingly, we can deduce that President George W. Bush is setting up ten new kings in the Middle East because he has a deep concern for the nations in the Middle East: his concern is that their religious belief of Islam will interfere with his plans to build a cathedral there.

In the same reference source, on page 232, **cathedral** is described as, “church; of a cathedra.” **Church**, in the *Random House College Dictionary*,

Revised Edition, copyright 1984, on page 235, is defined as, “Roman Catholic Church.” With this new gathered information, we can be more specific by saying that President George W. Bush has a deep concern for the Middle East, and their religious belief of Islam because he intends to build the Roman Catholic Church there. **Cathedra**, from the word cathedral, is defined in the *Webster’s New World College Dictionary*, Fourth Edition, copyright 2000, on page 232, as “the Episcopal see; any seat of high authority. See also EX CATHEDRA.” The Middle East is the place where President George W. Bush has chosen to build the Episcopal see, which will be his seat of high authority, as Ex Cathedra. What does all of this mean?

In the *Random House College Dictionary*, Revised Edition, copyright 1984, on page 1126, **Roman Catholic Church** is, “the Christian Church of which the pope, or bishop of Rome, is the Supreme Head.” So, going to war with the Middle East is about removing ten kings in order to strip those nations of their religion, so that their beliefs can be replaced with that of Christianity, whereas they will be under the Supreme Head, who is the Pope. I would say that this war is “very” strategic, because it is sending a sublime message to our Nation”: When we see these nations being stripped of their religious beliefs, we must take this as a sign

that this is the beginning of a religious war, and that all people will soon be forced to make a decision about their religion.

Let us gain some knowledge of this “Episcopal see” that is being set up in the Middle East. In the *Webster’s New World Dictionary of the American Language*, College Edition, copyright 1960, on page 488, **Episcopal see** means “governed by bishops.” These facts substantiate that these ten new kings that will govern the Middle East will act as bishops. *Chamber’s 21st Century Dictionary*, copyright 1996, on page 136, describes bishop as, “a minister in the Roman Catholic Church.” In the *Merriam Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary*, Tenth Edition, copyright 1999, on page 741, **minister** is, “agent.” **Agent**, in *The Cassell Concise Dictionary*, Revised Edition, copyright 1998, on page 27 is, “a person who transacts business on behalf of another; a person who exerts power; something that produces an effect.”

Based on these definitions, these ten bishops or kings that will govern the Middle East will be persons from the Roman Catholic Church, who will transact business on behalf of President George W. Bush. They will also exert their power to such a degree that it will produce an effect upon all of the Middle East--hoping to cause all of them to adhere to Christianity. The *Webster’s New World*

*Dictionary of the American Language*, College Edition, copyright 1960, on page 461, denotes **effect** as, “the ability to bring about results; influence.” In compliance with these facts, these ten bishops or kings will transact not only business on behalf of President George W. Bush, but they will also have the ability to bring about strong results, as well as the ability to influence the Middle East to accept the Christian belief.

We shall now define our last word from the definition of “dome,” which is *ex cathedra*, as we are in the final process of decoding the clause “...and upon his horns ten crowns...” in Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 1. In accordance with the *Chamber’s 21st Century Dictionary*, copyright 1996, on page 454, **ex cathedra** is “with authority, especially the full authority of the Pope; said of a papal pronouncement: stating an infallible doctrine.” We can see clearly from this definition that President George W. Bush will be given the right to rule with authority--the full authority of the Pope--with these ten new kings or bishops for a short time, which is in accord with Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 2, which reads in part:

*...and the dragon [the Pope] gave him [President George W. Bush] his power, and his seat, and great authority.*

And Revelation, Chapter 12, verse 12:

*Therefore rejoice, ye heavens, and ye that dwell in them. Woe to the inhabitants of the earth and of the sea! for the devil is come down unto you, having great wrath, because he knoweth that he hath but a short time.*

With the power, the seat, and the authority of the Pope of Rome, President Bush shall make a papal pronouncement in the Middle East: stating an infallible doctrine. What is this papal pronouncement and this infallible doctrine that President George W. Bush shall state? On the authority of *Webster's New World Dictionary of the American Language*, College Edition, copyright 1960, on page 1058, **papal** means "of the papacy." On the same page, **papacy** is described as, "the position, authority, or rank of the Pope." In *The Oxford Dictionary and Thesaurus*, copyright 1997, on page 1197, **pronounce** is described as to "pass judgment." And **judgment** on page 811, is "a decision." Thus, President George W. Bush will be given the position, authority, and rank from the Pope to pass judgment or make decisions in the Middle East--stating an infallible doctrine to the people of the Middle East. What is this infallible doctrine?

In *The American Heritage College Dictionary*, Third Edition, copyright 2000, on page 695, **infallible** means "in the Roman Catholic Church, incapable of error in expounding doctrine of faith or morals." Thus, President George W. Bush shall state that he is incapable of error in expounding on the doctrine of faith or



morals in the Roman Catholic Church. Therefore, he will cause the majority of the Middle East to adhere to his doctrine of *Christianity* for a short period of time.

What is Christianity?

In the same source of reference, on page 249, **Christianity** is “the state or fact of being a Christian.” On the same page, **Christian** means “professing belief in Jesus, as Christ,” while **doctrine**, in the *Merriam Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary*, Tenth Edition, copyright 1999, on page 342, is, “a principle of law established....” Conclusively, President Bush shall make a decision that because of his faith and moral belief in Jesus, then all the Middle East must adhere to one universal religion--Christianity, and profess belief in the principles of law established by the Roman Catholic Church. This reminds me of Nebuchadnezzar--when he made the decree in Daniel 3:10-11 that all people must fall down and worship the image that he--the ruler had decreed. Here it is, history repeating itself.

How many of us just think that they are only going after the religion of Islam in the Middle East? If we are awake, we know that this is the beginning of a religious war. If we think for one moment that this is not a sign to the rest of the world, then we are “truly” blind. If they are over there in the Middle East to sway them to Christianity, then what do you think is going to happen in the homeland of

America? After Islam, they are going to finish what they started with the Nation of יהוה. Let us not forget who the real war is with, and that can be found in Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 7:

***And it was given unto him to make war with the saints, and to overcome them: and power was given him over all kindreds, and tongues, and nations.***

This Scripture tells us that he was given power over all kindreds, tongues, and nations, so, he is going to gain power in the Middle East, but the real war is with whom? The saints. In the *Webster's Third International Dictionary*, Volume III (S-Z), copyright 1971, **saint** is described as, "Israelite; one of God's chosen people." Therefore, we know that the real war is with the **Israelites**, who are the chosen people of God, יהוה. The Islamic people do not proclaim to be Israelites--they call themselves Muslims--therefore, they are not the chosen people of יהוה. The Israelis do not proclaim to be Israelites--they call themselves Israelis--therefore, denying themselves that they are not the chosen people of יהוה. We are the ONLY nation with this proclamation, so the real war is going to be with us.

Let the war and the building of the Dome--the Roman Catholic Church in the Middle East--be a sign to us that we are facing Judgment Day. In *The Cassell Concise Dictionary*, Revised Edition, copyright 1998, on page 426, **dome**, also

means “doom.” **Doom** is synonymous to “Judgment Day.” In the *Random House College Dictionary*, Revised Edition, copyright 1984, on page 709, **Judgment Day** is, “the day of final judgment.” And, in *Webster’s New World Dictionary of the American Language*, College Edition, copyright 1960, on page 543, **final** is “leaving no further chance for discussion or change.”

Therefore, I hope that we review in our minds all the facts that we have learned today, before it is too late for a further chance for discussion, and leaving no further chance for change. As, we watch the ten new bishops or kings from within the Roman Catholic Church take their seats in the Middle East for a short time of rulership with President George W. Bush, let it be a sign that the final judgment is near. In addition, that we shall soon be faced with weighing the balances between יהוה and Christianity. Which will you choose? Whatever choice you make, pray to יהוה that it is the right one. I thank יהוה for the wisdom, knowledge, and understanding that we have received today, and may יהוה bless us and keep us, as we prepare for what is ahead. Shalom. Shalom.