The Seven Seals of the Apocalypse, Part 2

I stand before you today, in the presence of the Spirit of Truth, to show forth the praises and glory of 8&:, whom in His love, sent forth His only begotten Son as the salvation to the lost sheep of the House of Israel. This is not an act that any ordinary person could do. The most precious sacrifice ever made was when 8\&: *> 8&: came to resurrect us, a dead man. In resurrecting this dead man, 8&: *> 88: gave His own life, and is still giving His life, so that we can once again return to our rightful place, in the Kingdom of Heaven. For this reason, we all should have a personal testimony of the joy that 8&: *> 8&: has brought us, we should have a personal testimony of the peace that 8&: *> 8&: has brought us, and because we were a mentally dead, dumb, and defiled people, we should have a personal testimony of the understanding, life, and, righteousness that 8&: *> 8&: has brought to our souls. If only for these reasons, 8&: *> 8&: deserves all of our praise. Praise 8&:! Praise 8&: *> 8&:!

We are gathered here today to get an understanding of the **second** in the series of "The Seven Seals of the Apocalypse." The Apocalypse is the final judgment of 8-8: against evil, to destroy the "wicked" world as we know it, and it is represented cryptically with codes and symbols all throughout the book of Daniel

and Revelation. If we choose to be on the good side of 8-8: during this destruction of evil, then it is imperative that we gain knowledge of the encryptions, ciphers and secret writings that are found within the Scriptures. This is the point of this seminar, to decrypt, decipher and reveal the seals of the Apocalypse.

Welcome. As the descendents of a people stripped of all our "logical" vestures, people have been "pulling our legs" for a long time about the Apocalypse. The "dumb dog preachers" couldn't give us an understanding, so we were just left to eat whatever crackers the preacher put in front of our faces on communion, in hopes that somehow we wouldn't be present when the Apocalypse would occur. Well, I'm one of those people, who don't want to go nowhere. You can call me what you want, I want to be right there looking when the devil falls to his knees. And, I have every plan on being there, how about you? Well, if you want to be there, you have to know where you're going, so let us commence travel in *The Seven Seals of the Apocalypse, Part 2.*

Before we get into the basic premise of this seminar, it is obligatory that we recapitulate the message brought forth last feast concerning the first seal of the apocalypse released in Revelation 6:2.

"And I saw, and behold a white horse: and he that sat on him had a bow; and a crown was given unto him: and he went forth conquering, and to conquer."

Through deep study and extensive digging into the "white horse," we came to an understanding that the "white horse" represents the Government of the United States of America and that he who "sat" upon this "white horse" was President George W. Bush. We also learned through the word "sat" that the President has a duty to serve the "administration" of the Pope, or the Roman Catholic Church, by reason of his "fraudulent" inauguration into the office of presidency. In other words, the President works for the Pope. That falsehood was not even the shock. The shock came when we saw that the employed duty of the President includes him making war measures, duping the public into going for it, and, even more, spying on the Nation of 8&:. These revelations should validate our intrigue to find out what the rest of these seals hold. So in setting up this premise, let us begin this seminar with breaking the second seal in Revelation, Chapter 6, verses 3 and 4, which reads:

"And when he had opened the second seal, I heard the second beast say, Come and see.

And there went out another horse that was red: and power was given to him that sat thereon to take peace from the earth, and that they should kill one another: and there was given unto him a great sword."

Acknowledged in this scripture is the commencement of the second seal and its contents. These contents and each detail of them will be under critical examination in this session. We will begin by decoding the first encryption, "there went out

another horse." What does this mean? To find out the extent of these details, let us begin with "there." In accord with *The Cassell Concise Dictionary*, copyright 1998, page 1529, **there** is defined as "at that place, point, or **stage**." Relating that to the Scripture, the word "there" is telling us that at some place, point, or *stage* went out another horse. On page 1435 of the same reference source, **stage** is, "to dominate proceedings" with **proceeding** being referenced in *The American Heritage College Dictionary*, copyright 2000, page 1090, as "the instituting or conducting of legal action." So now, we have to consider what these dominating or legal actions are. For all we know, the government might be scheming up some so-called "legal action" to ban all remote controlled televisions. Having to get up every time a commercial comes on can get old pretty fast. In light of this, we will now find out what this "legal action" is.

On the authority of the *Bartlett's Roget's Thesaurus*, copyright 1996, page 394, reference number 412.1 under the keyword "action," **legal action** is equivalent to "crusade, battle, war, and militancy." From this, we can see that the word *there*, as it relates to Revelation, Chapter 6, verse 4, serves as our informant on the function of the *horse*, which will be revealed as the President, whose responsibility is to institute a crusade, battle, or, war.

Now, we are left with the question of the *significance* of this *war* in relation to the rest of the Scripture, which says, "...went out another horse." Went is the past tense of **go**, which is defined as "to indulge oneself excessively," as referenced in *The Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, copyright 1999, page 499. Taking this into account, we can see that the duty of "another horse," which we have yet to define, includes indulging itself "excessively" in the institution of war. In review of Revelation 6:4, the word *went* is modified by the word **out**, which is synonymous to "become public." With that in mind, not only is "another horse" indulging itself "excessively" in the war itself, but also in **becoming public** with the institution of this war.

Understanding that, the significance of knowing how "another horse" is represented is very important. Being that this is "another" horse, **another** has been defined in *The Synonym Finder, by J. I. Rodale,* copyright 1978, page 53, as "a supplemental," with "supplement" the noun, as "a part added to" [*The Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary,* copyright 1999, page 1184]. So therefore, the contents of the second seal, specifically pertaining to the horse, is nothing more than **a part added to** the first one. In knowing that the first horse represents the President of the United States, then we also know that the second one is just a part, or another role, added to the first.

In proving further that the "horse" of Revelation, Chapter 6, verse 4 is representative of President George W. Bush, let us use the authority of *The Cassell* Concise Dictionary, Revised Edition, copyright 1998, page 712 which references horse as, "a member of a mythical body of troops." According to The Synonym Finder, on page 722, **member** is synonymous to **limb** which is "a person or thing regarded as a branch...agent, or representative," according to *The Webster's New* World College Dictionary, Fourth Edition, copyright 2000, page 831. findings reveal the *horse* (of Revelation 6:4) or the President of the United States as a person who is the **agent** of a mythical body of troops. There is a special relationship between the agent and his mythical body of troops, and we will find out what that is. An agent is "a means or instrument by which a guiding intelligence achieves a result [Merriam Webster's page 22]." We see that behind the symbol of a *horse*, the President is an *agent*, who is working for a group, in this case a mythical body of troops, which is serving as his guiding intelligence. This group is using him, that he might achieve their "desired" and "intended" result.

Understanding this, we have the President of the United States of America as an agent to make achievements for a guiding intelligence, which shows us that the President does not function on the knowledge of himself, and neither is this

President's work to profit himself. Instead, his work is to achieve the result of his guide, the *mythical body of troops*, by indulging himself <u>excessively</u> in the public view with crusades, battles, and, wars. However, as amusing as this puppeteer act produced by the *mythical body of troops* may be, we need to find out who this group is and thereby find their connection with their presidential agent.

There is an underlying yet obvious implication that this group is purposely trying to remain *behind-the-scenes*. The questions that remain are, why has this group done this, and who is the *mythical body of troops?* The word "mythical" rings synonymously with, invented, contrived, trumped up, and false, according to *The Synonym Finder*, page 763. This means that the *body of troops*, the guiding intelligence for the President, is an invented, contrived, trumped up, and false **body**. Instead of a guiding intelligence, this sounds more like a phony operation of a bunch of "shade-tree" mechanics. Nevertheless, this intelligence group has purposely displayed themselves in this manner with the intent to deceive others and to cover up their true objective being carried out by their agent, the President.

Even though we know that this *body of troops* is **mythical** or is just a front, we now have to find out what their front, called a *body of troops*, is. In researching the word **body**, we discovered in the *Bartlett's Roget's Thesaurus*, copyright 1996

on page 90, reference number 59.3, under the keyword *party*, that a **body** is a "church." Moreover, in the *Encarta World English Dictionary*, copyright 1999, page 328, **church** is "any of several denominations of the Christian religion." It has been repeatedly proven that the Christian religion or church and the Roman Catholic religion or church is one and the same. With regard to that, we are now looking at the Roman Catholic Church front, as the "contrivance," which uses Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, Mary, and, "Jesus," as cover for their covert operation and at the same time uses the President of the United States as their puppet. As for the word *troop*, it means a "militia" according to *The Synonym Finder*, page 1259. And, thereby, gives this "church" front the qualifications to direct their agent, the President, as to war actions.

We have now made it to the point in the Scripture of "...that was red." This of course holds very much significance because it is describing the horse. "That was" functions as our guide to know that red is an identification tag of the horse in Revelation, Chapter 6, verse 4, the President. Documented in the Encarta World English Dictionary, page 1846, the word that is "used to introduce a clause giving more information to identify the person or thing mentioned." From here on, we should be able to see clear identification from the word red to identify the

President of the United States of America, who at the same time is an agent of the Roman Catholic Church front.

In keeping with *The Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, page 978, **red** means, "inciting or endorsing radical social or political change..." as well as, "one who advocates the violent overthrow of an existing political order." Therefore, we have the President on our hands who, at the guiding intelligence of the Roman Catholic Church front, is inciting the radical--that is, entire, absolute, whole, universal, extreme, drastic and severe--overthrow of the existing social and political orders. This is not saying that the existing orders are worth keeping though.

To give more information about the identity of the horse of Revelation, Chapter 6, verse 4 another definition of the word **red** is, "operating at a loss or being in debt," referenced in *Webster's New Universal Unabridged Dictionary*, copyright 1996, page 1614. **Operate**, the root of operating in the same source, on page 1357, is "to give orders and accomplish military acts, as distinguished from doing staff work." Thus, we are being enlightened as to a time when the President of the United States is at a loss or is in debt and, notwithstanding, will be giving orders to accomplish military acts, instead of, or as distinguished from, doing staff work. Is this not

evident in the current happenings of the United States? Has not the President stepped back from doing his staff-work to gallop and gallivant all around the world giving "sermons" about his military actions against terrorists? Is he not doing this while outside of the "magical world of television" thousands of the American people are losing their jobs? You now have more companies filing for Chapter 11 faster than you can shake a stick. So why would one spend over \$100 billion that they don't have to fight a war? "Why" is a very important question hereafter. But for now, let us hear Revelation, Chapter 6, verse 4, replacing the original text with our newfound information:

"By guiding intelligence of the Roman Catholic Church front, the President of the United States of America, while in debt, began instituting war: and power was given to him that sat thereon to take peace from the earth, and that they should kill one another: and there was given unto him a great sword."

If you notice in the Scripture, our decoding stopped at a *colon*. Overlooking this important constituent can thwart the understanding of the Scripture. In *The Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, copyright 1999, page 1535, a *colon*, "introduces a clause or phrase that explains, illustrates, amplifies, or restates what has gone before." So from this point on, we will see striking similarities to what we have just heard, only this time with "in depth" explanation, "vivid" illustration,

"intense" amplification, and "emphatic" restatement so that we might gain a better understanding of the present times.

Therefore, the next clause we will be decoding is "...power was given unto him." As we have already found in the first half of Revelation 6:4, the President of the United States is carrying out military actions at the command of the Roman Catholic Church, and have discovered that these actions are explained, illustrated, amplified, and, restated in that which proceeds after the colon. In light of this, let us now reveal piece by piece, what their explanations and illustrations are, starting with "power was given unto him."

In accord with the *Cassell Concise Dictionary, Revised Edition,* copyright 1998, page 1137, **power** is defined as, "the supreme ecclesiastical authority claimed by the Pope (Matt. XVI: 19)." Who is the Pope but the Head of the Roman Catholic Church? So is this telling us that the supreme ecclesiastical authority that the Pope "claims" will be given unto the President of the United States: Bush? Come on now, him? Could you imagine his secret service agents pushing him around in the "pope-mobile" while he blesses sick little kids? Wouldn't that be outrageous? Nevertheless, we are facing whatever this power is that the Pope claims being

transferred to the President of the United States. Meanwhile, to find out what this power is, let us read Matthew, Chapter 16, verse 19.

"And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven."

Now that is just utterly ridiculous, the Pope must be insane. If the Pope claims the power in Matthew 16:19, then what he claims is the keys of the kingdom of heaven, right. However, if you notice, these keys were "given" to someone; nobody just upped and claimed them. And, Matthew 16:19 surely did not say, "I will "give" unto the Pope the keys of the kingdom of Heaven." So how do we know for sure that the Pope claims the power in Matthew 16:19? Before, we examine the motive behind the Pope's authority claimed in Matthew 16:19, let's read verse 18 in conjunction with verse 19.

"And I say also unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church [nation]; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it.

And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whosoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven."

On the basis of this Scripture, it appears that in order to claim the keys in Matthew 16:19, he would have to claim to be Peter, who was classified as the rock upon which the Messiah would build His "church." So far as that, the Pope can claim to be Peter, until the cows come home, I know that 8-8: *> 8-8: is not building His

nation on no Catholic Church. However, as "unbridled" as that may seem, can you believe that this is not the depth of the Pope's impersonations? This travesty traces back to verse 16, so read it on through to verse 19, very carefully.

"And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God.

And 8&: *> 8&: answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou, Simon Bar-jona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto the, but my Father which is in Heaven.

And I say also unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church [nation]; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it.

And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whosoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven."

In reading that, did it stand out that in verse 16, "Simon Peter" answered 8-8: *> 8-8:, then in verse 17 8-8: *> 8-8: answered "Simon Peter," but referred to him as "Simon Bar-jona?" Then later on in his conversation he referred to "Simon Bar-jona," who was at first known as "Simon Peter," with saying, "thou art Peter." In spite of those differentiations, it doesn't take a rocket scientist to figure out from the second person inferences that 8-8: *> 8-8: was only speaking to one person, and the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance, will tell you that Simon Peter, Simon Bar-jona, and Peter is this "one" person.

Therefore, in review of that, we can see that the power given to the unnamed individual in Matthew 16:19 is Peter, who is actually Simon. Theoretically, if the

Pope claims the power of Matthew 16:19, then to justify his claim, he would have to be claiming to be Peter in order for anyone to believe him, right? This being the case, we would have to prove that the Pope claims to be Peter, and we shall.

According to the *Microsoft Encarta 96 Encyclopedia*, copyright 1993-1995 of the computer under the keyword **Pope**, it states that "besides the designation pope, the head of the Roman Catholic church also holds these titles: vicar of Christ; **successor of Saint Peter...**" Therefore, he does claim **Peter** by being his **successor.**

To further illustrate this point, *The American Heritage College Dictionary*, copyright 2000, page 1356, defines **successor** as "one that succeeds another," while **succeed** on the page 1355, means "to come after and take the place of." Thus, it should be duly noted that because the pope claims to be *the successor of Peter* then, he claims to be the one to *take the place of Peter*.

Provided that the Pope claims to be the one who "takes the place of" *Peter*, which **Peter** is *Simon*, then we must find out "who is *Simon*?" For the answer to this question, we will refer to the Witnesses of 8-8: *> 8-8:, in *The Crucifixion of the Messiah:* 8-8: *> 8-8:, copyright 1999, pages 110 and 111, quote: This one Simon

represents a black people, called Negroes--African Americans--today, who have been "cut off" from being a nation and who have been "cut off" from the knowledge of their "true" name--Israel. – End quote.

That's one to scratch your head for isn't it? You may be wondering why the Pope would claim to take the place of Black people. Black people are not exactly at the "top of the food chain" here in society, and I doubt that the Pope wants to leave the comfort of the Vatican to go stay in the projects. However, when you reflect on the fact that Simon is Peter, and it was unto Peter that the keys to the kingdom of heaven were given, then it all becomes clear why he would want to "take" our place. **Take,** in *The Synonym Finder*, on page 1212 is equivalent to, "kill, destroy, put to death, erase, blot out, wipe out, finish off, annihilate and exterminate." So the Pope's claim of the *Successor of Saint Peter* is contingent upon him destroying and erasing the place of Simon, the chosen people of God, 8&; to whom the keys to the kingdom of heaven are given. All that "saint" stuff is just "window dressing." Those people thought that they could just rely upon the fact that no one would make the association of Peter to Simon. Fortunately for us, 8\&\text{: will not let} them hide behind that "cloak and dagger," called a "church" any longer. It has been the desire of the Pope and all of his predecessors to perpetuate the destruction of the so-called Black man of America, by setting up a false church. From those

"Holy Ghost getting," "Halleluyah shouting," sweating, fanning and peppermint passing Baptists, to those "candle burning," "pipe organ playing" Catholics, it has all been to erase and destroy Simon. This is why 8-8: *> 8-8: is the biggest threat to the Satan of Catholicism. That is how we must look at it, as Satan. It is not just Pope John Paul II; he didn't just up and decide that he doesn't want Judah to be the ruler. This whole system of man-made religion comes from descendants of Satan. Satan has been attempting to thwart the rulership of the chosen ones of 8-8:, since he asked the question, "Yea, hath God, 8-8:, said," and he will use anyone from the Pope all the way to Bush to try to get rid of us, and in the process, destroy every nation in his path if he could.

Although we know what the power is, we must now figure out "why" the Pope would give the power he claims to Bush, considering that "although in theory any baptized male can be elected pope, the cardinals have not gone outside their own number since the 16th century" according to the *Microsoft Encarta 96 Encyclopedia*, copyright 1993-1995 of the computer under **Papacy.** That is virtually what is happening: Bush is becoming the new Pope. So, after all this time of holding the secret plot of the "cloth" to keep the so-called black man from ruling, does Pope John Paul II not deem himself more worthy than the President of the United States of America? This further demonstrates that it is not about the

Popes themselves getting the keys to Heaven. This is about making sure that the rightful possessors of the kingdom of Heaven and the rightful rulers of the planet Earth, the so-called Black man of **America**, are kept ignorant of knowing that the keys to heaven are theirs. What better person to keep them destroyed and in a state of not knowing who they are, than the head over **America**. This is why he allowed 8-8: *> 8-8: to be put under restrictions that would keep Him from associating with Black-Hebrew Israelites. If all of the so-called Black people of America are Hebrew Israelites by nationality, that would limit 8-8: *> 8-8:['s] association to everything but a Hebrew Israelite, in hopes to keep Him from raising us out of our graves--so that they can perpetuate our destruction. Now, that we have unlocked another piece of the mystery in Revelation 6:4, let us apply our newfound understanding.

"By the guiding intelligence of the Pope and Roman Catholic church front, the President of the United States of America, while in debt, began instituting war. As apart of this institution, the Pope gave his place in destroying the knowledge of rulership from the so-called black man of America to the President, that sat thereon to take peace from the earth, and that they should kill one another: and there was given unto him a great sword."

Needless to say, it is now time to come face to face with the next phrase, which is, sat thereon to take peace from the earth. Here is another encryption concerning the reason why the President "sat," and that is specifically to take peace from the

earth. Let us begin deciphering the word sat. On the authority of the Webster's New World College Dictionary, Fourth Edition, copyright 2000 on page 1274, sat is, the "vi. [verb intransitive], vt. [verb transitive], pt. [past tense], and pp. [past participle] of sit. Sit, on page 1341, is "to settle down for or as for a siege." In application to the Scripture, the "tip off" is that the President is settling down for a siege to take peace from the earth. Is that correct? Settle is defined by the Bartlett's Roget's Thesaurus, copyright 1996, page number 648, reference number 735.26 as to "sign a treaty." Before we proceed any further we have to do some excavating here. From that bit alone, we can unreservedly affirm that President Bush's plan to take peace from the earth involves his signing of a treaty. Though this "treaty" business doesn't have anything to do with "Halloween," it does have a whole lot to do with "tricks." So what does the signing of a treaty constitute? On the authority of *The Cassell Concise Dictionary*, page 1370, to sign is "to order, request or make known by a gesture." In clarifying that, the President is making known his **order** by a **gesture**. An **order** is a "general constitution of the world" [The Cassell Dictionary and Thesaurus]. Not only do we see that the President sitting to take peace from the earth involves him signing a treaty, but also we are being shown that this settlement is, he making known "his" general constitution of the world. This means that he is making known his "supreme law of the land," the world, through a **gesture.** This could get quite interesting. **Gesture** in the *Cassell*

Concise on page 617 is, "politics which concentrate on outward appearances and affecting public opinion..." **Politics** are "activities concerned with seeking power, status, etc." as verified in *The Oxford Pocket Dictionary and Thesaurus*, copyright 1997, page 611. In other words, as President Bush signs this treaty, which is his settlement to take peace from the earth, he will use political activities concerned with seeking power, which will be concentrated on outward appearances and affecting the publics' opinion to make known his *supreme law for the world*. In like manner, the *treaty* that Bush is *signing* is directly related to this settlement for a *siege*.

A **treaty** is, "a formal agreement between two or more states in reference to peace, alliance, commerce, or other international relations" according to *The Random House Dictionary of the English Language* copyright 1967, on page 1509. Before we proceed any further, we must first clarify what kind of states we are referencing. A **state** is a "country," or "nation," [*The Synonym Finder*, page 1160] thereby validating the point that Bush will be signing a treaty, using politics to affect the publics' opinion about the "general constitution" of the world, by initiating a *formal agreement* between different nations and countries in reference to **peace**, **alliance**, **and**, **commerce**.

There are three essential components in this treaty, whereas the President needs other nations' assistance in affecting the publics' opinion, they are: **peace**, **alliance**, and **commerce**. The most calculated details of affecting the publics' opinion are dependant upon these nations being in complete agreement with Bush regarding these three contingencies. The first contingency that <u>we</u> will deal with is the nations' agreement in "commerce." What is commerce?

Commerce is defined in *The Random House Dictionary of the English Language*, copyright 1967, on page 295 as, "an interchange of goods or commodities, esp. on a large scale between different countries..." "Trade." Now, this is not talking about the commodities that you pick up from the food stamp office. These commodities are goods or moneymaking tools by way of **trade**. So, for these nations to agree on **commerce**, they must agree on the interchange of goods between different countries of the world. This is apart of their treaty, "I buy from you, and you buy from me. Don't sell anything to them, if you do, I won't sell anything to you." **Trade** is "the buying or selling for profit [*The American Heritage College Dictionary*, copyright 2000, page 1433]. Thereby, the nations that are united in this treaty with the President will have to be on one accord with him regarding the profits of their countries.

Another essential component of the treaty among these nations is **alliance**. Webster's New World College Dictionary, on page 38 defines **alliance** as "a close association as for a common objective, as of nations..." Common is synonymous with "worldwide." We can therefore assert that Bush's use of this treaty among other nations is not only associated with their exclusive financial profit among each other, but also, it is for him to have enough forceful momentum to achieve a common or, worldwide objective, and this "worldwide" objective is his siege.

A siege is defined in the *Webster's New World College Dictionary*, on page 1332 as, any persistent attempt to gain *control*..." control being synonymous with order [The Synonym Finder, page 227]. So as we bring back into focus our starting point, we will see that the President "sitting" is, he affecting the public by uniting nations in a *treaty* of commerce and alliance, which is his *common* or more specifically "world-wide" siege, ultimately known as a world-wide, or one world order. The President's set up of the one world order, is in conjunction with his duty passed on to him by the Pope, which is to keep the chosen people of 8-8: from ruling. It is his objective to subject the world even further to the tyranny of the wicked, to try to thwart the actions of 8-8: *> 8-8: preparing us for rulership.

We have since classified only two of the three contingencies of the treaty that will position Bush to *sit* and *take peace from the earth*. The last of these will take us into the **process** of taking peace from the earth: *peace*.

Of course, it is obvious that if you want to have a close association with a group of nations that you want to help you, then you had better maintain peace. But, on the other hand, what role does "peace" have in the circumstances of this treaty, which Bush will be using to 1: affect the publics' opinion, and 2: accomplish his one world order? For the answer to that question, we must look at "peace."

Peace is defined as "a state of security or order within a community provided for by law or custom" [Ref. *Merriam Webster's*, page 854]. By the looks of the world today, it doesn't seem like they've agreed to keeping peace, but after you hear the following, you will agree that they have succeeded in taking a vast majority of it.

On the condition, that we have learned that it is by the guidance and bestowal of power from the Pope to the President that he is making a decree that the world be under one order, we have now made way to reveal his duty "to take peace from the earth." Take by definition is also "to deceive" according to *The Cassell Concise Dictionary*, page 1502. This is ridiculous. Is telling us that the President

will go about on a mission to *deceive* peace "completely" off of the earth, with his one world order? How can he do this? How can you *deceive* people into thinking that they are not at peace? Do people not know when they are peaceful or enraged? It is not as if the world is in such a "grand" state of tranquility anyway. However, from what we discovered in the President *signing* a treaty, we saw that he is making his **order** known by concentrating on *affecting public opinion*, the public being the world. This is not only limited to his "scripture-filled Sunday morning sermons" he gives in those press conferences, but also by **taking** or **deceiving** the people's **peace**. You are now about to see how he will *deceive* the world's *peace*.

Peace was defined as "a state of security or order within a community provided for by law or custom." All things considered, for the President and his allies to "take peace from the earth," what they must do is deceive the people of the earth concerning their present state of security or safety. Still, "How can you *deceive* the whole world as to its state of security?" Remember, Satan is very skilled in deceiving the whole world. The proof is found in the word **deceive** which means to **victimize** [*The Synonym Finder, page 263*]. The *Bartlett's Roget's Thesaurus*, copyright 1996, page 749, Reference number 848.9, gives great clarity on a **victim**, the root of victimize, under the keywords **person in adversity.** It states that a

victim is a "poor person." Therefore, to *take* or *deceive* the world's *peace* or *security*, they cause them to be poor; they cause them to be bankrupt; they cause them to be homeless. This is the plot; this is the story line. This is what "Feed The Children" is a "story." They get on the TV and ask us to feed the children. Don't ask me to feed the children; you have the money so you feed 'em.

Another classification of a **victim**, in the *Bartlett's*, is a "**prey**." Hence, as victims to the tyrannical rulership of the wicked rulers of the world, the people of the earth are reduced to being a *prey*. The classification of a victim as **prey** is very important in being able to recognize how they choose to take the security of the people from the earth. To illustrate this point, the same reference source, on page 372, under the keywords "the hunted," references **prey** as "lost child." So to make the whole world all in an uproar about their security, these beasts will make them the victims of a "lost child." They have heavily impressed in the minds of the world, that it can happen to anyone, all the way from Europe to the US, all social statuses.

They first started out with the real "high-class" neighborhoods with the well-to-do kids, but after people started complaining that kids are missing everyday, and they were only reporting the rich ones, then they decided to get a black girl. Of course,

they always have to make us look rough and tough, so they made her gnaw through duct tape and break a window to get out. That took care of two major groups in society. Later on down the road, they decided to up the age group to 16 and 17 year-old girls who were snatched by **one** man from among **two** other guys from **two** different cars. Is this for real?

Now for the Hispanics! It was a **woman** this time, though she had three children of her own, she just got the "hankering" for **another** four year-old girl. However, she didn't want her to be unhealthy so she took her to the clinic for throat irritation, in spite of the fact that the child's face had been flashing constantly on the news. That sounds a bit outrageous.

With that one, they started their gender play to get everybody geared-up and on the lookout for desperate women, when a one-month old baby was taken out of the car in a Wal-Mart parking lot. So now, I'm sure all mothers with newborns are really suspicious of other women who look like they are waiting for their parking space. You see, <u>now</u> it's kidnapping, one of these days, we'll turn around and they'll be "old lady-napping?" Why are they doing this? To make the world question their security and feel obligated to conform to their new-fangled, one world government. This tactic of missing children is being used to *affect* the publics' opinion on the

security in their communities so that they might subject them to their command. From what I have been seeing thus far, America is using tactics to plague and bombard the people into submission to a one-world order. So now let us review our scripture with this information:

"By the guiding intelligence of the Pope and Roman Catholic front, the President of the United States of America, while in debt, began instituting military action. As apart of this institution, the Pope gave his place in destroying the knowledge of rulership from the so-called black man of America to the President, so that he could ultimately form a one world order by causing the public to question their security through making them victims of crime, and that they should kill one another: and there was given unto him a great sword."

We have now approached the point where, "...they should kill one another. What does this mean? Should, as described by The Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, copyright 1999, page 1085 is "used in auxiliary function to express what is probable or expected." Clearly then, we are being shown that it is the "expectation" of the wicked rulers of today that as a result of all of their extensive, brutal, and, deceitful measures, the people of the earth would kill one another. This means that it is their intent that the people of the earth be killed. That should make you wonder who it is they plan to rule over, if they intend for everyone to be killed, right?

According to the Bartlett's, Reference number 448.13, page 428, kill is referenced as to "be wicked." Indeed this is how they are going to rule over the people. These wicked rulers are hoping that by making the citizens of the planet earth the victims of crime and terrorism, that they will welcome in the ushering of their one world order, in hopes that the new order will transform the world even more to their wickedness. This exact principle of controlling a bunch of lost people by the hand of a wicked system, is the foundation of their "correctional institutions," most of which, by the way, are grossly populated with the so-called Black man of America. Moreover, so much of the time, our people come out worst off than when they went in there. In like manner, under this deception, the wicked rulers of today will attempt to use this demonic rule to subject the whole world to their wickedness in hopes of ultimately turning the very elect of 88: to the paths of unrighteousness. This is why these actions are far beyond the figureheads that are being used to execute them. This is the plan of Satan, who has been after the very elect since the beginning. Let us read Matthew, Chapter 22, verses 11 through 22

And many false prophets shall rise, and shall deceive many.

And because iniquity shall abound, the love of many shall wax cold.

But he that shall endure unto the end, the same shall be saved.

And this gospel of the kingdom shall be preached in all the world for a witness unto all nations; and then shall the end come.

When ye therefore shall see the abomination of desolation, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, stand in the holy place, (whoso readeth, let him understand:)

Then let them which be in Judaea flee into the mountains:

Let him which is on the housetop not come down to take any thing out of his house:

Neither let him which is in the field return back to take his clothes.

And woe unto them that are with child, and to them that give suck in those days!

But pray ye that your flight be not in the winter, neither on the sabbath day:

For then shall be great tribulation, such as was not since the beginning of the world to this time, no, nor ever shall be.

And except those days should be shortened, there should no flesh be saved: but for the elect's sake those days shall be shortened.

Did you hear what it said in that first verse? It told us that these false prophets will deceive many, and although the world may be full of iniquity, 8&: will cut that time short so that the elect--the chosen ones, those who keep the laws of 8&:--will be saved—not killed--saved.

We will now finish off the remainder of the Scripture, which says "and there was given unto him a great sword." From this scripture, we can see offhand that the President [the "him"] is not taking this sword of himself, but that it is given to him. As a matter of fact, he has attained nothing of his own, and as we learned last feast, he wasn't even elected on his own, and it was blatantly shown in the media, that the majority of people didn't even want him. Considering that, he being given this sword is not arguable.

Given is the past participle of the word **give**, which is defined by the *Cassell Concise Dictionary*, page 623 as, "to be **forthcoming**," which **forthcoming** means "about to appear or take place [*American Heritage*, page 537]." Hereby, we are

being informed that a *great sword* is about to appear or take place. We are being given advance warning as to a **great sword**, that sounds much like the information imparted in Matt. 22:21. **Great** is referenced in the Webster's New World College Dictionary, page 621 as "long in duration." Through the understanding of Revelation, Chapter 6, verse 4, we are being alerted that soon to appear or take place is a sword, which will be of *long duration*. Long, means that it will be tiresome [Cassell Concise Dictionary, page 867]. This sword will be "exhausting" in duration. Nevertheless, this sword will take place. The sword in this Scripture represents "war, destruction or death in war" [Cassell Concise Dictionary, page 1490]. Remember that the first thing that the President has to do in Revelation 6:4 is carry out "war." Has he not been doing this? Oh, it's not the end. You can look at the physical manifestation of war in the Middle East, and understand how exhausting the duration of this will be. However, we always must look at the spiritual aspects of all things. In doing so, we can see that this war is a physical representation of the position that we, as the chosen people of 8\&\; take in overcoming Satan. That is regardless of his aim to wear us out--because that is definitely his aim to wear out the patience of the saints of the Most High, 88:. But, according to Daniel 7:26, the judgment of <u>88</u>: shall sit and the saints of the Most High, 8-8:, shall take away the dominion of the Devil, to consume and to destroy it unto the end.

So, let us hear the conclusion of the whole matter, Revelation 6, verse 4 in full detail.

"By the guiding intelligence of the Pope and Roman Catholic church front, the President of the United States of America, immediately began instituting war. As apart of this institution, the Pope gave his place in destroying the knowledge of rulership from the so-called black man of America to the President, so that he could form a one world order by causing the public to question their security through making them victims of crime, in hopes that eventually he would fill the earth with wickedness, and consume the elect. At this time will the President and all his conspirators also be at war with the elect, the saints of the Most high, 8-8: because of their righteous stand against their wickedness, but in the end their kingdom shall be destroyed."

I truly thank my Father, 8&: *> 8&:, for this time to prepare myself for what is approaching and also for all of my brothers and sisters, those who do the will of 8&:, that will prepare themselves as well. Shalom Aleichem, and bask and savor in the delights of the Feast of Tabernacles.